

Northwest



Co-Processing in Refineries of Thermal Liquefaction Products from Biomass and Waste

Huamin Wang Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

Kim Magrini National Renewable Energy Laboratory

> Zhenghua Li Los Alamos National Laboratory

> > April 20, 2022



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy



The International Conference on Thermochemical Conversion Science: Biomass & Municipal Solid Waste to RNG, Biofuels & Chemicals





We can leverage existing refining infrastructures to **leverage billions of US\$**



Pacific

Northwest NATIONAL LABORATO



- Bio-oil/bio-crude co-processing not currently practiced by refiners
- Pilot scale work shows 1-10 wt% bio-oil feed is possible in FCC units
- Bench scale work shows potential of co-processing in HT/HC units with limited research on woody bio-oils and wastewater sludge HTL bio-oils

An interdisciplinary and collaborative effort to de-risk co-processing in refinery



Pacific

Northwest

April 19: Stable Carbon Isotope Approach for Tracking Biogenic Carbon Distribution in Bio-oil/crude Co-processing with VGO, by Zhenghua Li, LANL

Assessment



A comprehensive study of co-processing in hydrotreating and hydrocracking

Pacific

Northwest





High biogenic carbon incorporation demonstrated for the **CFP** bio-oil co-processing



- High incorporation of biogenic carbon in fuel products, consistent with standalone HT results, with minor impact to HT/HC chemistry
- Similar performance observed when co-processing CFP bio-oil with SR diesel
- Potential coke formation from CFP bio-oil is a big challenge

Pacific

Northwest

A. Dutta, et al. Ex Situ CFP 2020 State of Technology, https://doi.org/10.2172/1805204

84%

93%

12.2/100 CFP/VGO
39
<15
49
0.886
358

High biogenic carbon incorporation demonstrated for the HTL bio-crude co-processing



101.4 g organic

Pacific

- Competition between heteroatom (S, N, O) removal is critical during co-processing in hydrotreating
- Demonstrated HT pretreatment to mitigate N issues of bio-crude and enable co-processing in mild HC C. Zhu,... H. Wang, Energy and Fuels, 2022, to be published

96% 97%

5.7/100 HTL/VGO 47 <15 93 0.881 358 7.3±0.1



Kinetic measurement of HDN/HDO/HDS of biocrude/VGO guides catalyst selection and supports reactor model development



- Development of kinetic-based reactor model for co-processing is ongoing
 - Aspen HYSYS Refinery Models

C. Zhu,... H, Wang, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 2022, 307, 121197

0.05 ž

0.02

0.01

Mitigation of catalyst deactivation by co-processing suggested

HDS activity Surface area **Carbon content** K, Na, Ca, Fe content 120 15 10000 0.45 und/100 g cat h 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.4 wt.% 100 8000 carbon content, ppm 00 ²80 000art area, 0.25 0.2 0.2 0.2 cont Surface a ganic 000 5 40 0.1 2000 20 0.05 2 5 4 5 2 2 3 4 2 5 2. Diesel only 1. Fresh 3. Diesel with raw bio-crude 4. Diesel with pretreated bio-crude 5. Diesel with pretreated bio-crude and catalyst guard bed

After ~300 h test

Bio-crude pretreatment and guard bed use mitigate catalyst deactivation

April 21, 3:50: Coprocessing Biocrudes with Petroleum Gas Oil in Hydrotreating, by Huamin Wang, PNNL

Pacific

Northwest

C. Zhu,... H. Wang, Energy and Fuels, 2022, to be published

Fouled catalyst after co-processing raw bio-crude

Fe Ka1

100um

Preliminary analysis showed co-processing has potential to reduce biomass conversion cost for biorefinery and benefit refinery by Northwest profitable feedstock and renewable carbon in fuel product

Effect of various factors on the upgrading cost of wet waste HTL biocrude with co-processing

Pacific

Refinery Impact Analysis of Co-Processing Bio-Oil/Bio-crude and VGO at Mild Hydrocracking Unit HTL Biocrude

	Scenarios	cenarios Catalyst and Operating Assumptions Ungrading Capital Cost Assumptions				ntions	Ungradir		
	Scenarios	Catalyst	Catalyst	WHSV	Change in	Feeding	H_2 Compressor	Wastewater	Cost
		Life (yr)	Price (\$/lb)	(Hr ⁻¹)	Рн2 (%)	system	and PSA	Treatment	(\$/gge)*
1	Without Impacts	2	16.5	0.8	0	No	No	No	0.26
2	Lower Catalyst Life	1.5	16.5	1	0	No	No	No	0.26
3	Higher Catalyst Price	2	32.9	1	0	No	No	No	0.27
4	New Feed System	2	16.5	0.8	0	Yes	No	No	0.27
5	Additional Waste	2	16.5	0.8	0	No	No	Yes	0.28
	Treatment								
6	2, 4 & 5 Combined	1.5	16.5	1	0	Yes	No	Yes	0.28
7	3, 4 & 5 Combined	2	32.9	1	0	Yes	No	Yes	0.29
8	Higher Partial H2	2	16.5	0.8	10	No	Yes	No	0.32
	Pressure								
9	4, 5, 8 Combined	2	16.5	1	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.33
	with Higher WHSV								
10	Conservative (2, 3, 9	1.5	32.9	1	10	Yes	Yes	Yes	0.34
	Combined)								

\$0.26 - 0.34 /gge

- Upgrading cost at a standalone bio-refinery = \$0.91/gge.
- Increase in operating severities and new capital investment will lead to higher biocrude upgrading cost to some extent
- design cases

FCC: Modified Catalysts Improve Co-Processing

Feed	Catalyst	%Bio- based Carbon (%C _{bb})*	%C _{bb} product/ %C _{bb} feed	Wt% Coke	Oxygenate Breakthrough (Mass% in liquid)
VGO	E-Cat	0.0	NA	2.75	NA
VGO/CFPO	E-Cat	9.7	1.01	1.09	6.03
VGO/CFPO	E-Cat/MFI 5w% Mn	7.3	0.76	0.83	5.19
VGO/CFPO	E-Cat/MFI 5w% La	9.2	0.96	0.62	4.90
VGO/CFPO	E-Cat/MFI 5w% Ca	5.5	0.57	0.68	5.39
VGO/CFPO	E-Cat/MFI no-meso	10.4	1.08	2.8	4.25
VGO/CFPO	E-Cat/MFI meso	8.8	0.91	1.1	1.88
VGO/CFPO	E-Cat/HZSM5	5.4	0.66	0.23	1.80
VGO/CFPO	E-Cat/HZSM	5.9	0.72	ND	2.33

La- and no mesoporosity-MFI catalysts:

- maximized biogenic C in product
- reduced coke
- reasonable oxygenate breakthrough
- to be tested at DCR scale

April 20, 3:20: Feedstock and Catalyst Impact on Bio-Oil Production and FCC Co-Processing to Fuels Feedstock and Catalyst Impact on Bio-Oil Production and FCC Co-Processing to Fuels, by Kim Magrini, NREL

We can leverage existing refining infrastructures to leverage billions of US\$

- High biogenic carbon incorporation by co-processing CFP bio-oils and bio-crudes in HT/HC and by co-processing CFP bio-oil in FCC
- For co-hydrotreating, competition of heteroatom removal is critical. Specifically, for HTL biocrude with high N content, HDN is the key to enable co-processing in hydrocracking
- Catalyst deactivation by co-processing can be mitigated

Pacific

Northwest

Co-processing can be beneficial to both biorefinery and refinery

Acknowledgement

Huamin Wang Miki Santosa Igor Kutnyakov Cheng Zhu Oliver Gutierrez Yuan Jiang **Charlie Doll** Andrew Plymale Tim Bays Corinne Drennan

Bob Baldwin Earl Christensen Kristiina lisa Rebecca Jackson Calvin Mukarakate Jessica Olstad **Yves Parent Brady Peterson Glenn Powell Reinhard Seiser** Mike Sprague Anne Starace

Zhenghua Li James Lee Douglas Ware Thomas Geeza Oleg Maltseve Jacob Helper

BIOENERGY TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE

SDI Program: Josh Messner, Jim Spaeth

April 20, 3:20: Feedstock and Catalyst Impact on Bio-Oil Production and FCC Co-Processing to Fuels Feedstock and Catalyst Impact on Bio-Oil Production and FCC Co-Processing to Fuels, by Kim Magrini, NREL

April 21, 3:50: Coprocessing Biocrudes with Petroleum Gas Oil in Hydrotreating, by Huamin Wang, PNNL

April 21, 4:10: Quantification of Biogenic Carbon in Fuel Blends through LS C14/C Measurement and Assessment, by James Lee, LANL

Energy Efficiency & **Renewable Energy**