### IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Bioeconomy Institute

# tcbismass 2024

# Autothermal Hydrothermal Liquefaction

September 12, 2024

Habeeb Odebiyi, Tannon Daugaard, Jordan Funkhouser, Ryan Smith, Robert Brown

# The conversion of organic waste into advanced fuels through Hydrothermal Liquefaction (HTL) is a promising route

- Management of waste has become increasingly difficult with population growth.
- The US alone generates 77.17 MM Tons of wet waste annually, with 41 MM Tons from animal waste having  $5.7 \times 10^{11} MJ$  inherent energy content<sup>1</sup>.
- HTL can process these wet wastes to produce biofuels.



# Hydrothermal Liquefaction (HTL)

- Subcritical: 250 374 °C and 5 22 MPa
- Supercritical: 375 480 °C and 24 34 MPa
- Typical feedstocks: Woody biomass, algae, swine manure, digestate, sewage sludge, food wastes, etc.<sup>1</sup>
- HTL uses water as the process medium



Product distribution of HTL of a dry biomass feedstock<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Thomsen, L. B. S., Anastasakis, K., & Biller, P. (2022). Wet oxidation of aqueous phase from hydrothermal liquefaction of sewage sludge. *Water Research*, 209, 117863.

<sup>2.</sup> Tews, I. J.; Zhu, Y.; Drennan, C.; Elliott, D. C.; Snowden-Swan, L. J.; Onarheim, K.; Solantausta, Y.; Beckman, D. Biomass Direct Liquefaction Options. TechnoEconomic 3 and Life Cycle Assessment; Pacific Northwest National Lab.(PNNL), Richland, WA (United States), 2014.

# HTL offers significant advantages over other thermochemical conversion technologies

- The high-pressure condition prevents a phase change, hence avoiding large enthalpic energy requirements
- Biocrude from HTL have improved qualities:
  - Lower oxygen
  - Lower moisture content and
  - Higher heating value (HHV)



### HTL/Pyrolysis Liquid Products Comparison

Dimitriadis, A., & Bezergianni, S. (2017). Hydrothermal liquefaction of various biomass and waste feedstocks for biocrude production: A state of the art review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 68, 113-125

# Major barriers to HTL commercial viability



- 1. D. Lachos-Perez, P. César Torres-Mayanga, E. R. Abaide, G. L. Zabot, and F. De Castilhos, "Hydrothermal carbonization and Liquefaction: differences, progress, challenges, and opportunities," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 343. Elsevier, p. 126084, Jan. 01, 2022. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126084.
- 2. D. C. Elliott, P. Biller, A. B. Ross, A. J. Schmidt, and S. B. Jones, "Hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass: Developments from batch to continuous process," *Bioresour. Technol.*, vol. 178, pp. 147–156, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.132.
- 3. C. Hognon, F. Delrue, and G. Boissonnet, "Energetic and economic evaluation of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii hydrothermal liquefaction and pyrolysis through thermochemical models," *Energy*, vol. 93, pp. 31–40, Dec. 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.ENERGY.2015.09.021.

# Autothermal operation could overcome the heat transfer bottleneck of HTL

- Exothermic reaction within reactor provides energy for endothermic HTL reaction
- Demonstrated at Iowa State University for pyrolysis through partial oxidation
  - The valuable heavy ends are preserved
  - Process intensification of three-fold achieved





# As the system size increases, the impact of heat transfer limitations becomes more pronounced

For a first-order chemical reaction in a tubular reactor, the diameter D (m) for which heat transfer becomes rate limiting is given by:

$$D > \frac{4h(T_w - T_{rx})}{k_c C_A |\Delta H_{rx}|}$$

Accordingly, the maximum diameter of a tubular HTL reactor is only 0.064 m, illustrating the challenges of heating a commercial-scale HTL reactor

#### 500 Autothermal (A) 450 Conventional (C) 400 throughput 350 throughput autothermal Intensification (I) =throughput conventional 300 250 Relative 200 150 $\frac{A}{C}$ **I** = 100 50 15 20 25 5 10 **Reactor diameter (cm)**

### Throughput vs Reactor Size<sup>1</sup>

# **Hypotheses**

- The addition of molecular oxygen (as air) decreases external energy demand for HTL
- Oxygen will preferentially react with organic compounds dissolved in aqueous phase compared to water-insoluble fraction (biocrude)



## **Dissolving oxygen in the aqueous phase**

 Mass transfer of oxygen to the aqueous phase will depend on oxygen saturation pressure and effect of mixing on k<sub>L</sub>a

$$r_m = k_L a (C_{O_2}^* - C_{O_{2,L}})$$

- At typical HTL operating conditions, oxygen is much more soluble in water than at ambient conditions
- Poor solubility of the biocrude fraction in water should protect it against oxidation



 $C_{ag}$  is the molal solubility of oxygen in water<sup>1</sup>

# **Experimental apparatus**





Schematic of apparatus

10

### Parasitic heat loss was determined to be 0.56 kW



**Temperature/Power vs Time – Parasitic Heat Loss** 

# The addition of air leads to a decrease in power requirements for HTL

$$E_{supplied} = \int (P_{HTL} - P_{parasitic}) dt$$



# **Conclusion/Future Work**

- In preliminary experiments, a 9.5% reduction in external energy demand was achieved through the injection of oxygen into the HTL reactor.
  - Equivalence ratio was uncertain due to inadequacies in the measurement of oxygen flow rate
- Future work includes:
  - Improvements in instrumentation to accurately measure equivalence ratio
  - Increase energy supplied via partial oxidation reactions
  - Characterize the products of autothermal HTL and compare to products from conventional HTL

## Acknowledgements

- Iowa State University's Bioeconomy Institute
  - Co-authors
  - Staff and students

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Bioeconomy Institute

• This work is funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation

